

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated June 15, 2005, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration based on the above amendments and the following remarks. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-4, 7-25 and 31-48 are pending in the present Application. Claims 11, 20, 31-34, 39 and 44 are amended, leaving Claims 1-4, 7-25 and 31-48 for consideration upon entry of the present Amendment and following Remarks.

The Specification is further amended to correct an inadvertent typographical error for two reference numbers.

Reconsideration and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Claim Objections

Claims 13, 20, 31-34, 39 and 44 are objected to because of informalities. Particularly in Claim 13, line 6, the Examiner suggests the first term "a" be deleted. Applicants respectfully submit that the "a" before "wherein" in Claim 13, line 6 of the March 30, 2005 communication was deleted in the Response to the Restriction Requirement, in the Amendments to the Claims section.

The remainder of the claims, the Examiner states that it is unclear if the claims were meant to be multiple dependent or not, since they recite "of any one of" language, but only recite one claim there after. In response, Applicants herein amend Claims 20, 31-34, 39 and 44 to delete the "of any one of" language and the "s" at the end of "claims." Claim 11 is also amended to delete the "s" at the end of "claims." Reconsideration and withdrawal of the relevant objections is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-4, 7-25 and 31-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Prasad et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,884,156 (hereinafter "Prasad") in view of Ryoke et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,443,827 (hereinafter "Ryoke") or Oliver, U.S. Patent No. 6,027,402 (hereinafter "Oliver"). Applicants respectfully disagree.

Prasad was filed on June 17, 2003 and issued on April 26, 2005. The present application was filed on August 27, 2003, claiming priority to Korean Application 10-2002-0056481, filed on September 17, 2002. Since Korean Application 10-2002-0056481 was filed before the earliest filing date of Prasad, Prasad may be antedated by submission of a certified English translation of Korean Application 10-2002-0056481. Accordingly, Applicants have enclosed a certified translation of Korean Application 10-2002-0056481.

Applicants respectfully submit that Prasad is not prior art to the present application. Thus, the rejections of Claims 1-4, 7-25 and 31-48 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are rendered moot. Reconsideration, withdrawal of the relevant rejections in which Prasad is used as a reference and allowance of Claims 1-4, 7-25 and 31-48 is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All of the objections and rejections are herein overcome. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the instant application is in condition for allowance. No new matter is added by way of the present Amendments and Remarks, as support is found throughout the original filed specification, claims and drawings. Prompt issuance of Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.


The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' attorney at the below listed phone number regarding this response or otherwise concerning the present application.

Applicants hereby petition for any necessary extension of time required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) or 1.136(b) which may be required for entry and consideration of the present Reply.

If there are any charges due with respect to this Amendment or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 06-1130 maintained by Applicants' attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

By: 

Amy Bizon-Copp

Reg. No. 53,993

Confirmation No. 5311

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

55 Griffin Road South

Bloomfield, CT 06002

Telephone (860) 286-2929

Facsimile (860) 286-0115

PTO Customer No. 23413

Date: October 14, 2005